1			
1	CATHERINE A. CONWAY (SBN 98366) GREGORY W. KNOPP (SBN 237615)	**E-Filed 12/20/2010**	
2	CHRISTOPHER K. PETERSEN (SBN 26063 AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD	1) 11 P	
3	2029 Century Park East, Suite 2400 Los Angeles, California 90067-3012	LLI	
4	Telephone: 310-229-1000 Facsimile: 310-229-1001		
5	cconway@akingump.com		
6	gknopp@akingump.com cpetersen@akingump.com		
7	Attorneys for Defendant, ERNST & YOUNG LLP		
8	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT	
9			
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11	SANJ	IOSE DIVISION	
12	DAVID HO, on behalf of himself and others	Case No. CV 05-04867 JF (HRL)	
13	similarly situated and on behalf of the general public and DOES 1-20		
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND {PROPOSED} ORDER CONTINUING DEADLINE FOR	
15	V.	FILING DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLASS	
16	ERNST & YOUNG, LLP	CERTIFICATION	
17	Defendant.		
18	JOSEPH LANDON individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	C 08-2853 JF (HRL)	
19	Plaintiff,		
20	V.		
21	ERNST & YOUNG LLP, a limited liability		
22	partnership; ERNST & YOUNG U.S. LLP, a limited liability partnership; and DOES 1-		
23	100, inclusive,		
24	Defendant.		
25	Caption continued on next page.	·	
26			
27			
28			

1		
1 2	MICHELLE RICHARDS, on behalf 16 of herself and all others similarly situated and on behalf of the general public,	C 08-4988 JF (HRL)
3	Plaintiff,	
4	v.	
5	ERNST & YOUNG LLP, and DOES - 50	
6	Defendant.	
7		,
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20 21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
1		

1	Plaintiffs Sarah Fernandez and Michelle Richards, and Defendant Ernst & Young LLP, by and		
2	through their respective counsel, hereby agree and stipulate as follows:		
3	WHEREAS, pursuant to the parties' stipulation which the Court approved on September 24,		
4	2010, Defendant's opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for class certification would be due December 31,		
5	2010, and Plaintiffs' reply in support of their motion would be due March 11, 2011;		
6	WHEREAS, due to unforeseen scheduling conflicts, Defendant is unable to proceed on the		
7	date scheduled for the deposition of Plaintiff's expert witness Roger B. Shlonsky;		
8	WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to re-schedule the deposition of Roger B. Shlonsky for the		
9	week of January 11, 2011;		
10	WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred and agree that in order to accommodate this		
11	deposition, the briefing schedule should be amended as follows:		
12	Defendant's opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for class certification is due on or before		
13	January 21, 2011; and		
14	2. Plaintiffs' reply in support of their motion for class certification will remain due on or		
15	before March 11, 2011, with the caveat that Defendant will not oppose a request for an		
16	extension should Plaintiffs request it.		
17	Respectfully submitted,		
18	Dated: December 20, 2010 AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP		
19	By /s/ Gregory W. Knopp		
20	Gregory W. Knopp Attorneys for Defendant, ERNST & YOUNG LLP		
21	ERINST & TOUNG LEF		
22	Dated: December 20, 2010 HOFFMAN & LAZEAR		
23	By <u>/s/ Ross Libenson</u> Ross Libenson		
24	Attorneys for Plaintiffs David Ho, Sarah Fernandez and Michelle Richards		
25	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
26	Dated: Dec. 20 , 2010 By		
27 28	JUDGI JNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING DEADLINE FOR FILING DEFENDANT'S		

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 3 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 2029 Century Park East, Suite 2400, Los Angeles, California 90067. On December 20, 2010, I served the foregoing document(s) described as: STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING DEADLINE FOR FILING DEFENDANT'S 4 5 OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION on 6 the interested party(ies) below, using the following means: 7 All parties identified for Notice of Electronic Filing generated by the Court's CM/ECF system under the 8 referenced case caption and number 9 ⊠ BY ELECTRONIC MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION. Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I caused 10 the document(s) to be sent to the respective e-mail address(es) of the party(ies) as stated above. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic 11 message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful. 12 I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made. 13 Executed on December 20, 2010 at Los Angeles, California. 14 15 Skushaugs 16 Rose Shushanyan 17 Print Name 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

PROOF OF SERVICE